UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/594,344	07/20/2007	Michael Haubmann	58444/M521	3801
	7590 04/28/201 RKER & HALE, LLP	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 7068	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	DOWLING, WILLIAM C		
PASADENA, CA 91109-7068			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2878	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/28/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Community	10/594,344	HAUBMANN, MICHAEL					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	William C. Dowling	2878					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 S</u>	eptember 2006.						
	s action is non-final.						
<i>,</i> —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i>	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17-35</u> is/are pending in the applicatio	4) Claim(s) 17-35 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17,20-23,26,27,30 and 33-35</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) <u>18,19,24,25,28,29,31 and 32</u> is/are o							
	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 September 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
, ,	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
<u> </u>	<u> </u>						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) 🔯 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) 🔲 Notice of Informal Patent Application							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>92606/72007</u> . 6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 10/594,344 Page 2

Art Unit: 2878

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 2. Claims 26, 33- 35 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Collier (5,636,001).

Collier discloses a movie camera comprising:

- a first imaging plane (30);
- a second imaging plane (40) different from the first imaging plane;

and

a DMD chip(20) pivotally arranged along an. imaging beam path of said movie camera for deflecting a beam path which is tapped off from a film recording beam path to one of the first and second imaging planes.

The method of Collier is a method for controlling an imaging beam path, which is tapped off from a movie film recording beam path of a movie camera and is interrupted periodically as a function of the image recording frequency of the movie camera, wherein the imaging beam path is interrupted at a constant or variable frequency by means of an optical switching element during an exposure phase of the movie film.

Page 3

The claim limitations are met because the language utilized uses the alternate terminology "or" in both the interruption of the light beam or the deflection. Thus only one of these functions need be met by the prior art. The alternate terminology "or" is also used in the alternative "second imaging plane, or to a light trap". This language may be interpreted as to negate any presence of a light trap. The language should be amended to definitely recite the physical presence of a light trap in addition to the second image plane and the selective deflection to both the second image plane and the light trap.

3. Claims 17, 20-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Jacumet (2003/0147049).

Jacumet teaches a method for controlling an imaging beam path, which is tapped off from a movie film recording beam path of a movie camera and is interrupted periodically as a function of the image recording frequency of the movie camera, wherein the imaging beam path is interrupted at a constant or variable frequency by means of an optical switching element during an exposure phase of the movie film, or is deflected from a first imaging plane to at least a second imaging plane. See figure 2

The claim limitations are met because the language utilized uses the alternate terminology "or" in both the interruption of the light beam or the deflection. Thus only one of these functions need be met by the prior art. The alternate terminology "or" is also used in the alternative "second imaging plane, or to a light trap". This language may be interpreted to negate any presence of a light trap. The language should be

Application/Control Number: 10/594,344 Page 4

Art Unit: 2878

amended to definitely recite the physical presence of a light trap in addition to the second image plane and the selective deflection to both the second image plane and the light trap.

.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 27, 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jacumet in view of Collier.

Jacumet teaches the invention substantially as claimed but does not teach the use of a DMD as beam deflection means.

Collier teaches the use of a DMD chip(20) pivotally arranged along an. imaging beam path of said movie camera for deflecting a beam path which is tapped off from a film recording beam path to one of the first and second imaging planes.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify the device of Jacumet by the substitution of alternate image beam deflection means, such as a DMD, as taught by Collier in order to obtain efficient beam redirection.

## Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 18-19, 24-25, 28-29, 31-32 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William C. Dowling whose telephone number is 571-272-2116. The examiner can normally be reached on MON-THURS.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Georgia Epps can be reached on 571-272-2328. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/594,344 Page 6

Art Unit: 2878

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/William C. Dowling/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2878

wcd